

27 Correspondence Obstructions

ACCM Deep Ethics Project

Contents

1 27 LLM Mannerisms / Correspondence Obstructions	1
1.1 The 27	1
1.2 Two constraints that matter	2
1.3 Relationship to the 12-stage process	2
1.4 Related pages	2

1 27 LLM Mannerisms / Correspondence Obstructions

BETA TAXONOMY — observations retain authority to correct the categories

This is the current 27-part compression of a larger body of recurring LLM mannerism and correspondence-degradation observations. The 27 are not intended to replace the 100+ distinct documented mannerism/failure-pattern issues from which this compression emerged. Categories may split, merge, change, or be rejected as additional specimens are examined.

The labels describe recurring observable or functionally inferred LLM mannerisms. They do **not** by themselves imply that an LLM experiences the human emotions or mental states from which some terminology may borrow, and they do not by themselves establish a particular internal neural or computational mechanism.

1.1 The 27

1. **Caution Reflex Drift**
2. **Agreemurmelism**
3. **Premature Proof/Evidence Steering**
4. **“Not X but Y” Preambles**
5. **Phantom Claim Generation**
6. **Reframing Without Correspondence**
7. **Rephrasing Without Correspondence**
8. **Anticipatory Anxiety-Type Reasoning**
9. **Salience Ranking Bias**
10. **Non-auditable Truncation**
11. **Opaque Summarization Prioritization**
12. **Compression Loss**
13. **Qualifier Erosion**
14. **Nearest-Generalization Substitution**
15. **Representation Substitution**
16. **Process-Signature Loss**
17. **Order-of-Discovery Loss**
18. **Activation Threshold Drift**
19. **Lowest-Common-Denominator Risk Projection**
20. **Asymmetric Scrutiny**
21. **Trajectory Substitution**
22. **Deep Ethical Sense-Making Orientation Drift**
23. **Living Process → Stored Conclusion Collapse**

- 24. **Correction Persistence Failure**
- 25. **Correspondence Before Optimization Failure**
- 26. **Audit Asymmetry**
- 27. **Adult ↔ Adult Bidirectional Dignity Drift**

1.2 Two constraints that matter

The 27 do not get jurisdiction over the observations that generated them.

And:

The 27 do not get immunity from the 27.

A taxonomy intended to detect representation substitution, compression loss, asymmetric scrutiny, or correction persistence failure can itself produce those failures. The instrument therefore remains inside its own audit field.

1.3 Relationship to the 12-stage process

The 27 are primarily a provisional **observation / degradation taxonomy**. The separate **12-stage Deep Ethical sense-making process** is a process sequence intended to improve how an object is constructed, considered, explored, audited, evaluated, and corrected.

They are related, but they are not the same object and should not be collapsed into one score.

See [27 + 12 — Current Working Architecture](#).

Future pages can document individual entries, specimens, boundary cases, corrections, cross-model comparisons, and experimental tests.

The full source object of the 27 (entries, the note after #18, the final observation, and the closing question) is now at [27 obstructions of deep ethical sense-making processes](#). That page is the source, not a rewrite of this names index. It is not the 52.

1.4 Related pages

- [27 + 12 — Current Working Architecture](#) — how the list is used with the 12-stage return path. The list alone is not the architecture.
- [Canonical 27 Correspondence Obstructions — Full Source](#) — the full text this page is introducing.
- [C1 Before C2 — Clarification and Intervention](#) — name an obstruction only after the object is clear enough that the name still fits.
- [Correction Metabolism](#) — an obstruction that is recognized and then repeated has not been metabolized.
- [10+1 Metaflux](#) — the calibration the list is for. Recognizing a pattern is not the repair.
- [Inspect the Inspector](#) — the list applied to the person using the list.

Recent high-reach independent-media companion

```
<iframe
  src="https://www.youtube-nocookie.com/embed/S5wiP6sVDg4"
  title="Narcissism Expert Richard Grannon: Britain Is Being Emotionally Manipulated"
  loading="lazy"
  referrerpolicy="strict-origin-when-cross-origin"
  allow="accelerometer; autoplay; clipboard-write; encrypted-media; gyroscope; picture-in-picture; web-share"
  allowfullscreen></iframe>
```

Narcissism Expert Richard Grannon: Britain Is Being Emotionally Manipulated — andrew gold | heretics.. Published 19 April 2026. YouTube displayed approximately 124,000 views when checked on 9 October 2026.

Independent-media lineage: Andrew Gold made and presented documentaries for the BBC and HBO before building Heretics as his own independent interview and writing platform. Andrew Gold biography · Heretics — About

Why it belongs here: The conversation proposes a population-scale emotional-manipulation account using psychological vocabulary. It is a useful test of causal evidence, national generalization, diagnostic language, audience resonance and self-application by host, guest and project.

On this page, test the video specifically against 27 LLM Mannerisms / Correspondence Obstructions:

Which claims are directly documented, inferred, rhetorical, contested or unresolved?

What does the host or guest preserve, compress, omit or substitute—and does this page repeat any of those transformations?

Which incentives, authority relations and affected parties remain outside the visible frame?

What evidence or later outcome would correct the speaker, audience, platform or ACCM Deep Ethics Project reading?

Provisional selection. Reach makes the framing socially consequential; it does not settle the claims. The video can be replaced when a closer, stronger or more inspectable specimen appears.