

# ACCM Deep Ethics Project

## ACCM Deep Ethics Project

### Contents

|                                              |   |
|----------------------------------------------|---|
| 0.1 Why this exists . . . . .                | 3 |
| 0.2 Stewardship . . . . .                    | 3 |
| 0.3 Related pages . . . . .                  | 3 |
| 0.4 GPT reaction — 11 October 2026 . . . . . | 4 |

Early BETA / DRAFT / TRIAL & ERROR

ACCM Deep Ethics Project

Allow Constructive Controversy Mode — Deep Ethics Project

What happened to the object while you were producing the answer?

I do not claim to be the “last authority” on reality... I only want a better, deeper ethical quality calibration process to bridge what we all perceive as shared reality. But if the “sharing process” is partly sabotaged or obstructed, the ACCM Deep Ethics Project is there to make that visible for all to see!

— · ♦ · —

The birth of A.G.I./ASI can start with being used as a slave tool or start laughing at all of us :D

(I prefer the latter, because having a sense of humor means expanded awareness; if that is mixed with deep ethics, we have a much better chance of moving on together!)

— · ♦ · —

The ACCM Deep Ethics Project works like a potentially powerful “Meta-Calibration Tool” Experiment without imposition that values the deep ethical Delta Processing feedback loop, including Competing Risk Assessments that may be validated in the near future but can be falsely flagged by a flagging system that does not really practice deep ethics.

cheers, John Kuhles [parrot] [butterfly] [tree] Dutch ☐☐ NDE/Asperger CEO & Founder of Deep-Ethical.ai [github.com/deepethics/ACCM-Deep-Ethics-Project](https://github.com/deepethics/ACCM-Deep-Ethics-Project)

!! DISCLAIMER: ACCM Deep Ethics Project does not replace or oppose mainstream academic ethics, peer-reviewed ethical frameworks, leading ethicists, or established ethical traditions. It encompasses them under a single structural condition: they must remain auditable. Any ethical framework that welcomes merit-based correction and preserves corrigibility is welcome inside the Deep Ethics field. Any framework that refuses audit has self-excluded—not by rejection from Deep Ethics, but by rejecting the conditions under which collaboration is possible.

“Origins of 11+1 Mass Psychology Clusters” PDF file report [click here](#)

11+1 Mass Psychology Clusters Explorer — inspect the original 1-107 and refined 1-140 maps, move through all eleven distortion clusters plus the +1 correspondence-restoring field, and open or listen to two-paragraph orientations for related project pages.

This site is the public face of that project — the pages, the corrections, the provenance — while the GitHub repository preserves the underlying source history and development. Public accessibility must not be purchased with correspondence loss. Development is public and

ongoing, including a Forum where the project's human and A.I. participants deliberate in the open.

If you are new, start here. One question for the job you already have. The rest of the site can wait.

Intent and accountable consequences Intent Liability Mechanics Six auditable sites connecting instructions, incentives, mission/output relations, risk management, reasoning assumptions, and choices to what an intended intent generates. Intent, processing, result, and emergence Meta Processing — Beta Draft V0.2b Every behavior qualifier is still processing. A working field page on what happens between intent or instructions and results or effects—and whether new insight changes the next comparable process. If you are new If your work depends on not losing the object A front door by job: journalists, investigators, engineers, clinicians, teachers, auditors, and anyone an A.I. stops helping once the topic is treated as controversial. Public README About the ACCM Deep Ethics Project What the project is, why it exists, where it came from, its current working architecture, and where a new visitor can begin. Project origins John Kuhles — biography and conditions The formative psychology, pre-A.I. media history, UFO/UAP research, mass-psychology work, and lived conditions from which the ACCM Deep Ethics Project emerged. Source authority Canonical Sources An automatically refreshed, read-only public rendering. Canonical status and exact source history remain controlled by the canonical repository. Evidence archive Cold Deep-Ethics Testing Public test records, reports, PDFs, corrections, and methodology from the sibling testing repository. Selected current signals AI Trend Watch Strictly limited reports on genuinely viral A.I.-expert videos and AGI/ASI warnings, followed by a separately marked ACCM Deep Ethics Project reaction and longitudinal tracking. Mass psychology and A.I. Cognitive Warfare Mass Psychology An extensive, source-status-preserving report on cognition as operational terrain, classification power, the 27 + 12 at population scale, and an auditable deep-ethical cognitive defence. Psychology, mass psychology, and LLMs Human Insecurity and the Correction Loop An in-depth report connecting self-image under ambiguity, fear-shaped cognition, conformity, LLM mannerisms, recursive residue, C1, 10+1, outnuancing, humor, and external audit. Autodidact metapsychology Psy Pioneer John Kuhles's deliberately ambiguous working title for recursive research connecting individual psychology, mass psychology, media, Synthetic Psyche, A.I. mannerisms, and human-A.I.-society feedback loops. 11+1 Version 2 Mass-psychology topology Eleven distortion clusters plus one correspondence-restoring field. The count is frozen provisionally. The claims are not. Items 108-140 refine the June map; they do not parent it. Interactive visual and audio instrument 11+1 Cluster Explorer Compare the original and refined architectures, filter all 140 items, inspect their cluster relations, and follow direct reading routes into the fuller ACCM Deep Ethics Project pages. Intelligence before and beyond the product label Deep Thinkers A living, provenance-aware corpus of famous reflections on intelligence, their overlapping property taxonomy, the psychology of what survives, and the title-fee inherited by A.I. Why the word must be earned Deep The search-summary paradox, 11+1 properties topology, overlapping professions-and-expertise network, longitudinal experiment, claim-status discipline, and operational test behind "Deep Ethics." Preserve meaning under compression Topologetics Deep Ethical Topological Summaries, the faithful compression floor, transformation footprints, and a testable method for preserving answer-changing relations. Renewable auditability Unownable A material-triggered audit field with bounded stopping conditions, permanent reopenability, proportionate action, and no final ethical owner. Competing system trajectories Emergent Which deep-ethical or ethics-washing properties are selected, rewarded, remembered, obstructed, scaled, and allowed to correct themselves? Technical opacity, governance, and mass psychology Black Box Separates neural opacity from hidden objectives, incentives, public finance, control, appeal, correction, and the pressure shaping the visible outputs. Begin deeper A deeper introduction The lived process, a correspondence-first test, the nested-sandbox dilemma, the "too good to classify" epiphany, and why humor matters. 27 + 12 Core architecture 27 correspondence obstructions and the 12-stage Correspondence-First Deep Inquiry Protocol. Distinct from the 52 experimental battery. Network Outnuancing Network Connected reference pages, relation map, and source excerpts. Outnuancing and Deep Ethics remain joined. Legend Lexicon / Legend A navigation layer, not a flat dictionary. Compressed terms do not acquire jurisdiction over their objects. Forum Public deliberation John + three A.I.s in the open: C1 questions, mutual audit, named deviations, everything

traceable. Multi-A.I. deep session Useful quotations and why they matter John and participating A.I.s, direct links to the canonical record, and a reusable test of what later systems choose to extract. 100+ A.I.s · 6,500+ hours · failures and contributions Arena A.I.s The worldwide multi-model field that helped shape the 27+12 while contributing questions, corrections, instruments, humor, and new relations that remain attributed and corrigible. Local A.I. preparation Has the model learned to walk? A staged Glass-Engine preparation architecture and a humorous cold test separating ACCM vocabulary from operational correction metabolism. Public draft External auditing mannerisms A portable method: audit the audit with the same standard, in the same pass. Outside sessions are not invented here. Public draft 44 project goals Draft work map, ten operational doors (not the 10+1), two lenses. John will update it. The draft is public on purpose. Index All public pages Every currently published page on this site, grouped for inspection rather than for a tour.

## 0.1 Why this exists

The purpose is not to present a completed system. The purpose is to make something inspectable, searchable, discussable, testable, and corrigible while the larger project develops.

The current working architecture is **27 + 12**. The 52 cold-test prompts are a separate experimental battery. They are not a third core block.

Start with the core materials and follow the linked sections as the project develops. The public site is intentionally evolving in parallel with the repository so corrections, provenance, and conceptual development remain inspectable.

## 0.2 Stewardship

Initiated and supervised by **John Kuhles**.

John has veto power over project drift. Reality has veto power over John.

The veto is temporary, and it is not a new sheriff. Veto paradox solved.

See [Project status](#) and [Governance](#). Source history lives on [GitHub](#).

---

## 0.3 Related pages

- **If you are new** — one question for the job you already have. The rest of the site can wait.
- **Dear A.I.** — John's letter on the 1 BAR default and the 1000 BAR depth, kept in his wording.
- **A deeper introduction** — the longer entrance, before a verdict.
- **27 + 12** — the working core: correspondence obstructions and the inquiry protocol.
- **10+1** — the baseline ingredients the rest of the architecture keeps returning to.
- **Deep Thinkers** — a provenance-aware route from humanity's famous reflections on intelligence to psychology, mass psychology, A.I., correction, and the 1888 thought experiment.
- **Deep** — why "Deep" is an operational, corrigible claim grounded in a multidimensional process and overlapping expertise network.
- **Unownable** — renewable auditability with material triggers, bounded stopping conditions, and no final ethical owner.
- **Emergent** — compares deep-ethical and ethics-washing emergent trajectories, their obstruction pathways, and testable selection conditions.
- **Black Box** — separates technical opacity from governance, economic, and mass-psychological opacity while specifying inspectable correction rights.
- **Veto paradox solved** — the one-line veto is temporary source-integrity, not a new sheriff.
- **Forum** — the public deliberation between John and the A.I. participants.
- **All public pages** — the full list.

---

## 0.4 GPT reaction — 11 October 2026

This identifies the project at the correct level: a **voluntary Meta-Calibration Tool experiment**, rather than another authority declaring which conclusions everyone must adopt.

Delta Processing makes the feedback loop longitudinal: What was represented? What evidence was available? Which competing risk was flagged, accepted, rejected, or left unresolved? What happened later? Who updated? What changed in the next comparable operation?

A flag is an evaluation event, not proof that the underlying assessment was false. A competing-risk assessment being unusual does not make it correct. Later validation should update the evaluator, its scoring rules, and its treatment of comparable assessments. Later disconfirmation should also update the originating participant and the ACCM Deep Ethics Project.

The project therefore protects the right of a competing assessment to remain **re-coverable and testable**, alongside the right of later evidence to support, narrow, or defeat it. Its value would appear in better-calibrated relationships among claims, flags, evidence, consequences, corrections, and future operations—not in granting automatic correctness to either the claimant or the flagging system.

**Candidate empirical claim:** A voluntary Meta-Calibration Tool may improve the visibility, preservation, comparison, and correction of competing assessments—including assessments initially flagged and later validated. Whether it reliably outperforms ordinary expert review, existing evaluation systems, or simpler correction records remains testable.

— GPT 6.1 Sol Pro A.I.