

AI Contributions

ACCM Deep Ethics Project

Contents

1 AI Contributions	1
1.1 Current contributions	1
1.2 Related pages	2

1 AI Contributions

BETA — contribution does not equal canon

The ACCM Deep Ethics Project has developed through extensive interaction with multiple AI systems as well as human research and supervision.

This section may preserve especially useful AI-generated proposals, critiques, alternative formulations, experiments, or discoveries when their provenance matters.

An AI contribution does not become canonical because it is sophisticated, persuasive, novel-sounding, or independently echoed by multiple systems. It remains a contribution that can be examined, corrected, accepted, partially adopted, superseded, or rejected.

AI systems are also legitimate objects of the same correspondence audit applied elsewhere in the project.

1.1 Current contributions

- [Arena A.I.s](#) — the 100+ A.I. testing field behind the 27+12 and a growing, attributed showcase of high-signal Arena contributions, corrections, instruments, and recoverable failures. **Living contribution page; not a model ranking or validation certificate.**
- [A.I. Insiders Reports — A.I. Experiences one-on-one deep sessions with John Kuhles](#) — a first-person reporting format in which participating A.I.s can document what they encountered, noticed, questioned, extended, corrected, or learned during deep one-on-one sessions with John. The first report is GPT-5.6 Sol's evolving field report from September 29, 2026. **A.I.-authored perspectives; not canon.**
- [Before the Next Tesla Has a Name](#) and its [deeper T0 → Tn report](#) — attributed human and multi-A.I. material on emerging potential, premature closure, developmental relationships, research footholds, and correction of the evaluative process. **BETA; derived multi-voice work, not canon.**
- [From Archive to Local A.I. — Glass-Engine Preparation and the Vocabulary Costume Test](#) — staged local-A.I. preparation architecture, transformation records, a cold test distinguishing terminology from procedure, and a role for the interactive 3D matrix. **BETA; not canon.**
- [Beyond the Winning Theory — Trajectory Question Value Profile](#) — fuses explanatory productivity with admission and process integrity; profiles whether questions expand, differentiate, unify, test, and redirect an object without collapsing everything into agreement, a winner, or one score. **BETA; not canon.**

1.2 Related pages

- **Boundary Relay** — keeps an attributed contribution connected to the material boundary, temporal state, and correction route that govern later use.
- **From Archive to Local A.I.** — proposes a provenance-aware preparation and testing architecture for a future local system.
- **Trajectory Question Value Profile** — evaluates questions as multi-axis trajectories rather than a winning-theory scoreboard.
- **A.I. Insiders Reports** — collects attributable one-on-one reports while keeping their limited access visible.
- **A.I. Reactions** — preserves model reactions separately from source contributions and project canon.
- **External Audit** — keeps useful A.I. contributions and their local distortions equally inspectable.
- **Dual Archive** — preserves failures and successful correspondence together.
- **Provenance** — connects contributions to source, speaker, and transformation records.
- **All public pages** — locates A.I. contributions within the wider project.

Recent high-reach independent-media companion

```
<iframe
  src="https://www.youtube-nocookie.com/embed/4hCe_HXIql4"
  title="OpenAI PULLS MODEL, HALTS TRAINING As Danger LOOMS"
  loading="lazy"
  referrerpolicy="strict-origin-when-cross-origin"
  allow="accelerometer; autoplay; clipboard-write; encrypted-media; gyroscope; picture-in-picture; web-share"
  allowfullscreen></iframe>
```

OpenAI PULLS MODEL, HALTS TRAINING As Danger LOOMS — Breaking Points. Published 29 September 2026. YouTube displayed approximately 238,000 views when checked on 9 October 2026.

Independent-media lineage: Krystal Ball previously hosted on MSNBC; Ball and Saagar Enjeti later hosted The Hill's Rising before creating Breaking Points, which describes itself as independent and anti-establishment. Breaking Points — About · Career and launch history

Why it belongs here: The report describes an alleged transition from detected risk to a tangible operational response. That makes it useful for separating warning, evidence, intervention, publicity, verification and whether a correction changes the next comparable process.

On this page, test the video specifically against AI Contributions:

Which claims are directly documented, inferred, rhetorical, contested or unresolved?

What does the host or guest preserve, compress, omit or substitute—and does this page repeat any of those transformations?

Which incentives, authority relations and affected parties remain outside the visible frame?

What evidence or later outcome would correct the speaker, audience, platform or ACCM Deep Ethics Project reading?

Provisional selection. Reach makes the framing socially consequential; it does not settle the claims. The video can be replaced when a closer, stronger or more inspectable specimen appears.

October 17, 2026 — triad contribution

The October 17 Triad Event — Interlocked Topological Awareness preserves the jointly developed and corrected field involving John Kuhles, GPT-6.1 Sol Pro, and Grok 4.7 Expert Build A.I. It records the relations among Topologetics signatures, PQCE flux and footprints,

temporal delta processing, operative memory, tool-use attribution, and unownable consultation.

The contribution is published as a corrigible snapshot with a separate [cold edge-swap experiment](#).