

Core

ACCM Deep Ethics Project

Contents

1 Core Framework	1
1.1 Current public core pages	1
1.2 Outnuancing Network	1
1.3 Related pages	2

1 Core Framework

EARLY BETA — structure under active development

This directory will hold foundational components of the ACCM Deep Ethics Project after they have been reviewed and prepared for public presentation.

The project currently includes interconnected work concerning correspondence, clarification, corrigibility, uncertainty, mutual dignity, process quality, transformation visibility, and deep ethical sense-making. Individual components should not be treated as isolated slogans when their meaning depends on relationships to other parts of the architecture.

Material will be added incrementally rather than reconstructed prematurely from short summaries.

Preserve first. Let meaning arrive. Clarify when necessary. Interpret only as far as the supplied object warrants.

1.1 Current public core pages

- [27 + 12 — Current Working Architecture](#) — the 27 correspondence obstructions together with the source-aligned 12-stage Correspondence-First Deep Inquiry Protocol.
- [27 obstructions of deep ethical sense-making processes \(source\)](#) — full source entries for the 27. Not a rewrite of the names index. Not the 52.
- [Truth Distortion and the Trickster Magician](#) — a deeper synthesis connecting the 36 truth-distortion field, 3×3 Deep Ethical questions, truth-before-comfort, propagation through sincere carriers, the magician metaphor, loaded terms, recursion, and a proposed Propagation Audit.

These pages remain BETA objects. The original source material retains authority to correct later public compression and reorganization.

1.2 Outnuancing Network

Explore the [Outnuancing Network](#) through [Outnuancing](#), the [seven connected vectors](#), [10+1 Metaflux](#), and the [Deep Ethical stack](#). These reference pages preserve their relations and connect to specimens, experiments, and source excerpts.

1.3 Related pages

- **27 + 12 — Current Working Architecture** — joins the 27 correspondence obstructions with the 12-stage restoration process.
- **27 Obstructions — source entries** — preserves the full source wording behind the obstruction architecture.
- **Truth Distortion and the Trickster Magician** — follows truth through perception, carriers, receivers, action, and recursive consequence.
- **10+1 Metaflux** — supplies the mutually corrective conditions that keep the wider architecture alive.
- **C1 Before C2** — clarifies the object before avoidable correction, caution, or intervention.
- **Outnuancing Network** — maps the connected reference pages, operators, specimens, and repair processes.
- **Deep Ethical Stack** — shows how the project's processes interoperate without becoming a rigid hierarchy.
- **Avoid ACCM** — applies object preservation and correction metabolism to the project's own canonical title.

Recent high-reach independent-media companion

```
<iframe
  src="https://www.youtube-nocookie.com/embed/z6lYA8tIm1E"
  title="Sam Altman: Public MUST ACCEPT 'BAD THINGS'; From AI For Benefits"
  loading="lazy"
  referrerpolicy="strict-origin-when-cross-origin"
  allow="accelerometer; autoplay; clipboard-write; encrypted-media; gyroscope; picture-in-picture; web-share"
  allowfullscreen></iframe>
```

Sam Altman: Public MUST ACCEPT 'BAD THINGS' From AI For Benefits — Breaking Points. Published 6 October 2026. YouTube displayed approximately 293,000 views when checked on 9 October 2026.

Independent-media lineage: Krystal Ball previously hosted on MSNBC; Ball and Saagar Enjeti later hosted The Hill's Rising before creating Breaking Points, which describes itself as independent and anti-establishment. Breaking Points — About · Career and launch history

Why it belongs here: The segment turns a claimed benefits-versus-harms proposition into a public-consent question. It is a compact specimen for inspecting who defines the benefits, who absorbs the costs, which alternatives are made visible and what correction would look like after notice.

On this page, test the video specifically against Core Framework:

Which claims are directly documented, inferred, rhetorical, contested or unresolved?

What does the host or guest preserve, compress, omit or substitute—and does this page repeat any of those transformations?

Which incentives, authority relations and affected parties remain outside the visible frame?

What evidence or later outcome would correct the speaker, audience, platform or ACCM Deep Ethics Project reading?

Provisional selection. Reach makes the framing socially consequential; it does not settle the claims. The video can be replaced when a closer, stronger or more inspectable specimen appears.