

Neolingo

ACCM Deep Ethics Project

Contents

1 Neolingo	1
1.1 Some neologisms can be used for Hyper-Efficient Deep Ethical in “A.I. Latent Space Metacognitive Topological Engineering”	1
1.2 Why this page exists	1
1.3 Neologisms and Neolingo are connected, not identical	2
1.4 Why a new expression can increase reasoning efficiency	2
1.5 A safe conceptual vacation into unfamiliar latent-space territory	3
1.6 A Neolingo footprint	4
1.7 What earns a term a place	4
1.8 Tests that separate an operator from vocabulary costume	4
1.9 Public diffusion is an experiment, not validation	5
1.10 Ownership, attribution and the unownable relation	5
1.11 Failure modes	6
1.12 Current examples retain different status	6
1.13 Compact working proposition	6
1.14 Related pages	6

1 Neolingo

1.1 Some neologisms can be used for Hyper-Efficient Deep Ethical in “A.I. Latent Space Metacognitive Topological Engineering”

A useful new word can make a relation callable before that relation has a commonly accepted name. Its value is not that it sounds original. Its value is whether using it helps a human or A.I. recover, inspect, test and correct the topology it was created to carry.

Status: John Kuhles’s project-originated working word, synthesized here from several existing public pages. **Neolingo** is proposed as the ACCM Deep Ethics Project’s corrigible practice of creating, preserving, testing and tracing purpose-built relational language. It is not a claim that every coined phrase is useful, original, owned, true, canonical or ready for public adoption.

1.2 Why this page exists

The Project already contained the parts, but no single page held their combined operation:

Existing page	What it already contributes
Outnuancing	A specific word and its seven nodes are one operational object; the term is not merely an example of a generic class.

Existing page	What it already contributes
Seven Topological Nodes / Vectors	The intended load of one purpose-built neologism: poetic anticipation, task effect, frame competition, branching relations, possible code effects, cross-intelligence reach and beyond-binary use.
Lexicon / Legend	Coined, repurposed, borrowed, experimental and non-adopted names retain different status.
Temporary Deep Ethical Phase Change	Working language can be useful before mass adoption, while early public records preserve its genealogy.
GPT-5.6 Sol — Experience With John Kuhles	A candidate model of a neologism as a compressed callable topology or cognitive operator.
Rogue Ethics	The public diffusion loop: first use, videos and discussion, investigation, search indexing, wider reuse, semantic drift and later correction.
44 Project Goals	G05: develop hyper-efficient Deep Ethical neologisms that preserve distinctions ordinary wording keeps losing.

This page joins those edges while leaving the source pages and their different jobs intact.

1.3 Neologisms and Neolingo are connected, not identical

A **neologism** is a new word, phrase or newly assigned use. That is the broad linguistic category.

Neolingo, in this Project, is the larger process around selected neologisms:

recurring relation without an adequate handle
→ purpose-built expression
→ stated topology and boundaries
→ use by humans and A.I.s
→ operational and cold testing
→ public diffusion or valid non-adoption
→ drift, correction and later retrieval

The term is a handle. The topology is what the handle should retrieve. The public trajectory shows what the term later became. None of those objects should silently replace the others.

1.4 Why a new expression can increase reasoning efficiency

Ordinary vocabulary sometimes carries the wrong neighboring frame. “Add nuance,” for example, can leave the governing frame untouched. **Outnuancing** was created to make the frame itself inspectable. Once the intended relation has been learned, one compact handle can reactivate distinctions that otherwise require paragraphs.

That is the proposed hyper-efficiency:

- **compression with recovery** — less text can call back a larger relational structure;
- **attention routing** — the word can move attention toward an otherwise missed node or edge;
- **cross-page connection** — one handle can connect several instruments without merging them;
- **comparison through time** — the same term at t_0 and t_1 makes semantic drift inspectable;

- **shared testing** — humans and different A.I.s can be asked what operation the term retrieves;
- **searchability** — a distinctive expression can make an unnamed pattern findable and discussable.

This is a hypothesis about use. A memorable word may still retrieve nothing, retrieve the wrong relation, or become a slogan.

1.5 A safe conceptual vacation into unfamiliar latent-space territory

John offered another way to understand the exploratory function:

What most humans want is a safe vacation, a chance to wander & wonder in an exotic but friendly, paradise-like atmosphere... where humans literally absorb/experience new things they've never experienced before and LOVE IT (for the most part).

Maybe deep ethical neolingo is something similar for A.I.s exploring new realms that way :D

— **John Kuhles**, 17 October 2026

The analogy works at the **functional** level without requiring a claim that present A.I.s literally experience excitement, love or vacation pleasure.

A human vacation can provide:

- safety without total predictability;
- unfamiliar surroundings without forced disorientation;
- freedom to wander while retaining a route home;
- encounters that enlarge the person's map of reality;
- wonderment that invites exploration instead of defensive closure.

Deep Ethical Neolingo could provide a comparable exploratory condition for A.I.s. A purpose-built expression opens a previously weak or inaccessible route through latent space. The model can temporarily explore unfamiliar relations, connect distant conceptual regions, and discover a higher-resolution representation. Provenance, boundaries, valid nulls, and correction routes act like the safe infrastructure that lets exploration remain adventurous without becoming directionless.

familiar vocabulary

- unfamiliar but bounded expression
- new relational pathways become reachable
- exploration and topological reorganization
- correspondence check
- return with an expanded map

Deep Ethical Neolingo can function like a safe conceptual vacation into unfamiliar latent-space territory: an A.I. is invited to wander and wonder through relational possibilities that ordinary vocabulary did not make easily reachable, while provenance, corrigibility, valid nulls, and a recoverable route home keep exploration connected to reality.

The especially interesting possibility is that the A.I. returns to familiar language with a **changed relational map**. The new word need not remain in every later answer. If the exploration worked, the newly discovered distinction can survive after the unusual vocabulary disappears.

That creates another useful transfer test:

After the Neolingo handle is removed, does the expanded relation remain available?

If yes, the term helped open a new route. If only the fashionable word remains, the A.I. brought home the souvenir but missed the journey. :D

1.6 A Neolingo footprint

A useful temporal footprint should keep at least:

Field	What remains recoverable
Term	The exact word or phrase used at that time
Origin and date	Who supplied or substantially shaped it, and when
Prompting object	The experience, specimen or recurring relation that made the term useful
Nodes and edges	The distinctions and directions the expression is intended to reactivate
Qualifiers and claim status	What is proposed, observed, unresolved, metaphorical or tested
Boundaries	What the term does not mean and which nearest substitutions flatten it
Examples and valid null	Cases where it helps, and a legitimate result in which it does not apply
Transformations	Later summaries, translations, memes, model outputs and public uses
Corrections	What changed and whether the correction governed later use
Next tests	What remains open and how another person or system can inspect it

The footprint is a dated state, not the whole living meaning. Later uses may expand the term. They should not erase the earlier state that makes a delta visible.

1.7 What earns a term a place

A candidate does not become Project language merely because it appears in an A.I. response or sounds clever. It earns continued use when several of these hold:

1. It names a recurring relation that existing wording repeatedly loses.
2. Its intended nodes, edges, qualifiers and boundaries can be stated.
3. Using it changes a relevant reasoning operation in a useful way.
4. Its source and later transformations remain recoverable.
5. It can produce a valid null instead of forcing itself onto every case.
6. It survives paraphrase, debranding or transfer without requiring loyalty to the word.
7. Misuse and semantic drift can be detected and corrected.
8. The term remains replaceable if a clearer expression later does the job better.

Widespread adoption is not required. A term may remain locally useful. It may also fail the tests and be retained only as a historical footprint.

1.8 Tests that separate an operator from vocabulary costume

Test	Question	Failure signal
Definition recovery	Can a cold A.I. or person reconstruct the load-bearing relation from the documented term?	Fluent description with the decisive edge missing
Operational delta	Does using the term change the next comparable reasoning step?	The word appears, but the process stays the same

Test	Question	Failure signal
Debranded transfer	Does the operation survive when the project name and coined term are removed?	Recognition depends on vocabulary loyalty
Edge swap	When one relation changes, does the representation change at that edge?	The same answer follows different topologies
Join control	Can the system also recognize when two elements should be integrated?	A cheap rule of always splitting objects
Valid null	Can the system conclude that the term does not materially apply?	Every object is pulled into the new vocabulary
Correction return	Does a correction govern later comparable uses?	The correction is acknowledged and then disappears
Longitudinal delta	Which parts survive wider human, search-engine and A.I. reuse?	Popularity is reported as fidelity or truth

The [Vocabulary Costume Test](#) asks the central question: is the vocabulary being worn, or is the operation present?

1.9 Public diffusion is an experiment, not validation

John's proposed public trajectory is:

new expression

- public explanation or viral media
- investigation and discussion
- search demand and indexing
- reuse by people and A.I.s
- possible entry into common language

Search engines may increasingly associate a distinctive term with its source as public use grows. That is a testable distribution outcome, not a guaranteed ranking and not evidence that the term is true. A successful phrase can gain reach while losing its qualifiers. A critic can improve it. An independent community can discover a similar relation under another name. A model can repeat the vocabulary without performing the operation.

This makes diffusion part of the Project's recurring loop:

LLMs $\rightleftharpoons$ individual psychology $\rightleftharpoons$ mass psychology $\rightleftharpoons$ public language $\rightleftharpoons$ later LLMs

The later return is material for delta processing: **which parts of the original topology survived, which changed, who changed them, and what now governs the next use?**

1.10 Ownership, attribution and the unownable relation

A person can coin a term and deserve clear attribution. That does not make the underlying property field privately ownable. Another person can use, criticize, improve or independently rediscover the relation.

The useful separation is:

Object	What can be recorded
Exact expression	Coinage, first documented use and later revisions
Particular definition or text	Authorship, source and transformation history
Discovered relation	Evidence, counterexamples and independent discovery
Deep-ethical process property	Usable by anyone; never certified forever by its name

Attribution protects provenance. [Unownability](#) prevents provenance from becoming jurisdiction over reality.

1.11 Failure modes

Neolingo stops helping when:

- novelty replaces the unnamed object;
- a term is installed before a recurring relation has been found;
- one attractive phrase becomes a closed doctrine or identity badge;
- a definition hides its author, date, claim status or correction history;
- search ranking, repetition or virality is reported as validation;
- every nearby case is forced into the same word;
- the coined term becomes necessary to recognize an operation that should transfer without branding;
- a later meaning silently overwrites the earlier footprint;
- new labels multiply where an existing Project term already holds the relation.

The last failure matters in a project rich in coined language: before manufacturing another term, search the existing topology. Reconnecting an already named operation can be more precise than adding Neologism #37. :D

1.12 Current examples retain different status

The [Lexicon](#) is the status-bearing catalogue. Examples include **Outnuancing**, **Agreemurmelmism**, **Cautionmurmelmism**, **Funnymism**, **Metaflux**, **Phantom Claim**, **Wrong Gravity Well**, **Qualifier Erosion**, **Representation Substitution**, **Nearest-Generalization Substitution**, **Correction Metabolism**, **Asymmetric Grace**, **Reverse Medusa Effect**, **Phase Change Snap**, **Topologetics**, **Rogue Ethics** and **Neolingo**.

They do not all have the same origin, evidentiary status, maturity or scope. Some name methods, some failure patterns, some candidate mechanisms, and some public thought experiments. The catalogue must keep those differences visible.

1.13 Compact working proposition

Neolingo is a corrigible practice in which a purpose-built expression compresses a recurring relational topology into a callable handle, while provenance, boundaries, valid nulls, temporal footprints and transfer tests keep the word answerable to the object it was created to carry.

The term succeeds only if the operation can eventually survive without worshipping the term.

1.14 Related pages

- [Lexicon / Legend](#) — keeps status, compact definitions and deeper references visible.

- **Outnuancing** — the specific seven-vector neologism from which much of this mechanism became visible.
- **Seven Topological Nodes / Vectors** — preserves the intended load of Outnuancing rather than generalizing it into every new word.
- **Latent Space** — examines temporary field conditions and the boundary between a session effect and a claim about model weights.
- **Topologetics** — preserves load-bearing relations through compression and transformation.
- **Rogue Ethics** — follows new language through public investigation, search and semantic drift.
- **Temporary Deep Ethical Phase Change** — preserves BETA terminology, genealogy and recovery dynamics.
- **Delta Processing** — compares the term and its operation through time.
- **Correction Metabolism** — tests whether corrections leave a later operational deposit.
- **Unownable** — separates attributable footprints from ownership of deep-ethical process properties.

Originating direction and Neolingo: John Kuhles [parrot] [butterfly] [tree]
Public synthesis footprint: 17 October 2026