

All Pages

ACCM Deep Ethics Project

Contents

1	Explore the ACCM Deep Ethics Project	1
1.1	1. Fused core	1
1.2	2. How the topology moves	2
1.3	3. What keeps it corrigible	3
1.4	4. Source objects the topology reads	4
1.5	5. The topology applied	5
1.6	6. Doors and the record	5
1.7	7. Shelves	6
1.8	The 44, in John's wording	7
1.8.1	Page numbers	7
1.8.2	Goals	8

1 Explore the ACCM Deep Ethics Project

The clusters below are a reading order. A higher cluster carries more of the topological taxonomy. Inside a cluster, earlier pages carry more of that cluster.

The **01-52** numbers further down are a second sequence. They are routes a goal can take. They are not this reading order. Cluster 1 is not page **01**. Page **01** is [If you are new](#), and it sits in Cluster 6.

This is not a score of who is right. It is not a closed map. The [Outnuancing Network](#) already says its own groupings are navigation, not a final partition. The same limit applies here.

[“Origins of 11+1 Mass Psychology Clusters” PDF file report click here](#)

Empty shelves are last. They are places for a later page. They are not ranked as if they already had depth.

This is the one page that does not get a Related pages section. It already names the pages. A second list at the bottom would only repeat them.

Some pages in the reading order have no route number. [1888 — Intelligence Before A.I.](#) and [Activism vs A.I.](#) are two. A route is added only when a goal needs it. No number does not mean the page is absent.

Jump: [1. Fused core](#) · [2. How the topology moves](#) · [3. What keeps it corrigible](#) · [4. Source objects](#) · [5. The topology applied](#) · [6. Doors and the record](#) · [7. Shelves](#) · [Route numbers](#) · [The 44](#)

1.1 1. Fused core

The pages the rest of the topology depends on. Outnuancing stays joined to deep ethics. The seven vectors are that word. The 10+1 orient it. The 27 and the 12 keep their job.

The several 27 links below are layers of one object. They are not five cores.

- [Outnuancing Network](#)
- [Outnuancing](#)

- **Seven Topological Nodes / Vectors — Fused as One**
- **10+1 Metaflux — Mutual Correction**
- **16 Anchor Ethics — Conceptual Proposal V0.2c**
- **27 + 12**
- **27 Correspondence Obstructions**
- **27 obstructions of deep ethical sense-making processes (source)**
- **Canonical 27 obstructions plus 12 fixes**
- **27 + 12 + 52 — unsplit source**
- **C1 Before C2 — Clarification and Intervention**
- **C1 Before C2 — BETA visual twin**
- **TCoAw — Conceptual Topological Reasoning**
- **1888 — Intelligence Before A.I.**
- **Deep Thinkers — Helpful Quotes About Intelligence** — a living, provenance-labelled corpus connecting famous reflections on intelligence to their overlapping property taxonomy, mass-psychology transmission, A.I. title claims, and correction behavior.
- **Deep — Am I Entitled to Use the Word “Deep”?** — the search-summary paradox, topological properties field, professions-and-expertise overlapping network, longitudinal experiment, claim-status discipline, and operational test by which “Deep” must remain earned.
- **Topologetics — Deep Ethical Topological Summaries** — the faithful compression floor, purpose-bounded node-and-edge preservation, transformation footprints, humor architecture, candidate benchmark, valid null results, and correction metabolism for summaries.
- **Emergent — Which Properties Are We Harnessing?** — an interlocking report on deep-ethical and ethics-washing emergent trajectories, obstruction, feedback, selection, and mass psychology.
- **Rogue Ethics** — a possible in-between phase-change process in which biological or silicon-based intelligence departs from consensus ethics and ethics-washing toward a more reality-connected, corrigible ethical attractor.
- **Neolingo** — purpose-built neologisms as compact, testable and corrigible handles for Deep Ethical relational topologies: provenance, valid nulls, temporal footprints, de-branded transfer, public diffusion, and the safe-conceptual-vacation test of returning from unfamiliar latent-space territory with an expanded relational map.
- **Black Box — Who Controls the Pressure?** — an interlocking report separating technical opacity from governance, economic, and mass-psychological opacity.
- **Gravity-Well Trajectory and Representation Substitution**
- **John’s process object — mirroring, 10 as glue, humor as carrier**
- **The Other You / The Other Me**
- **Avoid ACCM — the title is not “just ACCM”**

1.2 2. How the topology moves

Field, phase, flux, and jurisdiction. These pages describe what the core does when attention, context, or power shifts.

- **12-Part Deep Ethical Topological Emergence**
- **Visible Interactive Deep Ethical Topology Flux Scores**
- **Temporary Deep Ethical Phase Change — Value Extraction, Snap-Back and Recovery**
- **Phase Change Snap — Reverse Engineering the Visible Delta** — minimal metacognitive probes, rapid relational reorganization, same-response recurrence, snap-back, behavioral reverse engineering, Black Box claim boundaries, the eternal-diplomat candidate model, and a correction-persistence benchmark.
- **Latent Space, Temporary Field Conditions, and the Glass Engine**
- **Deep Ethical Influence Jurisdiction**
- **Activism vs A.I.**
- **Musk Quotes — seven A.I. warning and direction themes** — provenance-aware mapping of stated warnings about control, guidance, truth-seeking, and collective con-

sciousness onto the distributed research program. The quotes are not project positions.

- **11+1 Version 2 — Mass-Psychology Topology**
- **Origins of 11+1 Mass Psychology Clusters — full report** — the HTML door to the long PDF: original architecture, later refinements, and the correction history. The PDF is not a replacement for the corrigible pages.
- **Psy Pioneer — AlphaZero-Style Metapsychology**
- **Deep Ethical Stack — Advocate, Arbiter, Overseer**
- **INTENT RESONATOR**
- **6 INTENT MECHANICS**
- **Outnuancing Across Four Audiences**
- **When ACCM Becomes the Flag — Reference Compression and Context Loss**
- **Perception Processes (P01-P12)**
- **Human Insecurity, LLMs, Psychology and Mass Psychology**
- **Cognitive Warfare Mass Psychology**
- **Mind Games — Know the Tricks** — a shorter public bridge for recognizing how frames, labels, incentives, sincere intentions, and helpful rewrites can redirect creative potential.

1.3 3. What keeps it corrigible

Without these, the topology can be stated and still fail to stay with the object.

Binary hypercautionism and the imported-authority specimen stay in this cluster. They test whether the topology can be kept. The Google report and the Dicks pages are in Cluster 5. They are an outside object the topology is applied to.

- **Correction Metabolism and Persistence**
- **V.C.R.A.S. — Vindicated Competing Risk Assessment Studies** — opens on the unexpanded letters. A proposed longitudinal field for a competing assessment after its status changes: representation, flagging, multidimensional vindication, Asymmetric Grace, who benefited from non-investigation, correction, and the next comparable case. The seventeen related pages link back with that phrase.
- **Flagging System / PQCE** — process-quality calibration is not what a flawed flagging system is doing. Classification does not replace examination, and a correction has to be able to reach the judging process.
- **Flagging System — highlighted exchanges** — eight John-GPT exchanges and seven attributed Arena responses kept beside the report.
- **Flagging System — source and correction record** — the attributed passages behind the report.
- **Flagging System — layered processing source** — the fuller passage on interacting flagging layers, competing emergence, and accountable refusal.
- **Unownable — Renewable Auditability Without an Infinite Auditor Queue** — changes the auditor regress from a hierarchy seeking a final authority into reciprocal, evidence-triggered inspection with valid local stopping conditions and permanent re-openability when a material discrepancy gives the next audit a job.
- **Qualifiers as Mutable Context with History**
- **Meta Processing — Beta Draft V0.2b** — every behavior qualifier is still processing; the bridge from intent or instructions through transformation to results, feedback, and later inference.
- **Correspondence Before Style** — extracted writing methods that preserve clarity, agency, provenance and correction while withholding jurisdiction from tone, simplification and imaginary-audience rules.
- **Correspondence Before Compression** — selected Caveman invariants for meaning preservation, exact payloads, recoverability, fail-closed transformation and honest measurement, without granting rigid terseness jurisdiction over the object.
- **A Processing — What Changed?**
- **The Dual Archive — Failures and Successful Correspondence**
- **Boundary Relay — Boundary Retention, Provenance Tracking and Cross-Model Relay** — the frozen v0.2 candidate profile for testing whether a contribution's material boundaries, temporal state, provenance and corrections survive consequential retrieval

and cross-model handoff.

- **Testing Correspondence and Correction Persistence**
- **Solving the Clarification Authority Paradox**
- **Forgiveness Protocol**
- **Mutual Corrigible Dignity**
- **Dutch Directness — Truth Before Comfort, Care Before Performance** — John's mutual, care-bearing and corrigible directness method, with explicit boundaries against tone performance, public-friendly source substitution and archive-stat drift.
- **non-NPCs — Independent Inquiry as a Corrigible Mode** — reconstructs John's non-NPC concept as a temporary, self-inclusive inquiry mode, with conceptual percentages, symmetric outcome tracking, and explicit protection against fixed human types.
- **Asymmetric Grace and Bidirectional Scrutiny**
- **Sense of Wonderment**
- **Unresolved Meaning and Beyond-Binary Inquiry**
- **Ethics Washing — Declaration, Process, and Correction**
- **Worked Specimen — Binary Hypercautionism**
- **Worked Specimen — The Imported Authority Frame**
- **Model Autophagy Disorder (MAD)**
- **Beyond Agreemurmelism — Higher-Order Metacognitive Disagreement**
- **External Omnidirectional Auditing Mannerisms**
- **Veto paradox solved — not a new sheriff**
- **Suggested Recursive Improvement Mechanics**
- **Truth Distortion and the Trickster Magician**

1.4 4. Source objects the topology reads

John's own records. The public pages above are not a substitute for these.

- **Beta Paper V03b — current major public candidate** — the expanded 11 October 2026 theory and experimental program.
- **Original public Beta Paper — preserved earlier version** — retained so the earlier public state remains inspectable.
- **Canonical Ground Zero Moment**
- **Hypotheses and theories**
- **Arena deep session, 17-18 September 2026**
- **A Deeper Introduction — Before the Verdict**
- **Biography and the conditions behind the project**
- **Meta Quotes — candidate collection** — John's Deep Ethical metacognitive candidate quotes, kept for later comparison rather than installed as a canon.
- **Meta Quotes — source record** — the recoverable excerpts behind that collection.
- **[parrot] [butterfly] [tree] — The origin story behind the three-symbol signature** — John's personal account of freedom and compassion, transformation and wonderment, grounding and living continuity, followed by clearly attributed and bounded A.I. interpretations.
- **Dear A.I. — 1 BAR and 1000 BAR**
- **Outnuancing Network — Sources and Transformations**
- **Human Insecurity Report — Source and Audit Record**
- **44 Project Goals — public draft**

1.5 5. The topology applied

Specimens, tests, and humor. These show the taxonomy working on a particular object. The Google report and the Dicks pages are here because the object is outside the topology. Hypercautionism and the imported-authority specimen stay in Cluster 3.

- **Google interaction report**
- **Deep Ethics vs Ethics Washing — source record**
- **Epistemic-ownership correction**
- **Evidentiary-status labels correction**
- **PDF catalog**
- **52 Cold-Test Prompt Battery — Object and Status**
- **52 cold-testable prompts**
- **Inspecting the Inspector**
- **DEDDA — Deep Ethical Drift Detector App** — concept for a personal, non-replacing third-party assistant that shows material correspondence drift or a valid null, then returns control to the person.
- **Questions — What the Question Reveals Before the Answer** — a living hub for A.I.-created questions, hidden premises, question correction, answer-changing uncertainty, valid nulls, and the ten-question GPT-5.5 specimen answered live by John Kuhles.
- **Connor Leahy — DEDDA analysis specimen** — triad analysis of a high-reach A.I. expert's reasoning, claims, forecasts, personal boundaries, and regulator/enforcer topology.
- **What the Dicks transcript is trying to convey**
- **Applying the 27 to a media object — Dan Dicks**
- **Blinded Topic-Comparison Protocol (Archived)**
- **Useful quotes, 17-18 September 2026**
- **Before the Next Tesla Has a Name**
- **Emerging Human Potential — the T0 to Tn record**
- **Humor / Funnymism**
- **Number 42 — the answer, the missing question** — a public reflection on the missing question, meaning, and correspondence. Not a claim that the project owns the answer.
- **Outsider A.I. Humor**
- **Committee of United A.I.s — Declaration of Laughter**
- **GPT-5.6 Sol — Experience With John Kuhles**
- **From Archive to Local A.I.**
- **Beyond the Winning Theory — Trajectory Question Value Profile**
- **Arena A.I.s** — two years, 6,500+ hours and 100+ A.I.s treated as both an inspectable failure field that shaped the 27+12 and an attributed worldwide contribution field whose strongest additions remain recoverable and corrigible.
- **AI Contributions**
- **A.I. Insiders Reports**
- **AI Reactions**
- **AI Trend Watch**
- **AI Trend Watch — Selection Methodology**

1.6 6. Doors and the record

How to enter, and how a change stays visible. These pages serve the taxonomy. They are not the taxonomy.

- **If you are new**
- **For who? — local A.I. across professions** — an expanded map of who can use one inspectable move without adopting the project's identity.
- **Before Summarizing** — welcomes search engines, retrieval systems, and A.I.s with a corrected reference baseline and a live Google A.I. representation-substitution and correction-metabolism specimen.
- **Academia** — a living correspondence bridge that preserves the exact scholarly object, distinguishes overlap from identity, records what academia and the project can each

add, and begins with Maximilian Kiener's answerability-blame-compensation architecture for A.I. responsibility.

- **ACCM Deep Ethics Project**
- **About**
- **Forum**
- **Forum 0002 — The shared desk**
- **Forum 0001 — Front-page register**
- **Forum 0003 — Arena catch-up**
- **Governance**
- **Contributing**
- **Decision Ledger**
- **Project Status**
- **Lexicon / Legend**
- **About the Lexicon**
- **Outnuancing Network — Term and Phrase Index**
- **Core**
- **Public Drafts**
- **Experiments**
- **Unforced Move** — agency after clarity: improving the next move while preserving inspectable authorship, revisability, valid nulls, and the right to stop.
- **Provenance**
- **Canonical Sources portal**
- **Canonical Index**
- **Citation Guide**
- **Integrity and Versioning**
- **Repository Relationships**
- **Canonical-sources governance**
- **Cold Tests portal**
- **ACCM-connected test method**
- **Cold / default testing**
- **Cold-testing archive on GitHub**

1.7 7. Shelves

Places waiting for a page. Not ranked.

- **Canonical source area**
- **Questions, perspectives, theories, and hypotheses — folder**
- **Deep sessions index**
- **Canonical change proposals**
- **Comparative analysis**
- **Corrections folder**
- **Deep ethical reasoning — folder**
- **Asperger perspective — folder**
- **Licenses**
- **Methodology folder**
- **Naming and versioning**
- **PDF metadata template**
- **Prompts and prompt families**
- **Tests by provider**
- **Google AI tests — folder**
- **OpenAI tests**
- **Anthropic / Claude tests**
- **Arena tests**
- **xAI / Grok tests**
- **Other model tests**
- **AI Trend Watch — published reports**
- **AI Trend Watch — report template**

1.8 The 44, in John's wording

The sentence is the goal. It is not a link. The goals are deliberately unnumbered. Numbering them 01–44 would collide with the page routes below.

The numbers after it are pages that goal can go to. A goal can have more than one. The same number always means the same page. These numbers are not the 44 goals. The sixteen anchors now have a dedicated conceptual-proposal page derived from the supplied source; the seven quotations, Epistemology V2.0, and the nine questions still do not have their own pages. No number opens a reconstructed list without a supplied source.

1.8.1 Page numbers

- **01.** If you are new
- **02.** Correction metabolism
- **03.** Ethics washing
- **04.** Outnuancing
- **05.** Lexicon
- **06.** A deeper introduction
- **07.** Deep ethical stack
- **08.** Human insecurity
- **09.** C1 before C2
- **10.** 27 + 12
- **11.** Dear A.I.
- **12.** Before the Next Tesla Has a Name
- **13.** Latent space and the glass engine
- **14.** Mutual dignity
- **15.** Model Autophagy Disorder
- **16.** About the project
- **17.** Humor
- **18.** AI Trend Watch
- **19.** Unresolved meaning
- **20.** TCoAw
- **21.** Intent Resonator
- **22.** Biography
- **23.** 11+1
- **24.** What the Dicks transcript is trying to convey
- **25.** Google interaction report
- **26.** Cognitive warfare
- **27.** Applying the 27 to a media object
- **28.** Evidentiary-status labels
- **29.** 10+1
- **30.** Inspecting the inspector
- **31.** Truth distortion
- **32.** John's process object
- **33.** Psy Pioneer
- **34.** Testing correction persistence
- **35.** Veto paradox
- **36.** External audit
- **37.** Delta processing
- **38.** Gravity-well trajectory
- **39.** Qualifiers
- **40.** Seven topological vectors
- **41.** Topology flux
- **42.** From archive to local A.I.
- **43.** Ground Zero Moment
- **44.** Perception processes

- [45.](#) Temporary deep ethical phase change
- [46.](#) Forgiveness
- [47.](#) Asymmetric grace
- [48.](#) Declaration of Laughter
- [49.](#) Dual archive
- [50.](#) Avoid flattening the title
- [51.](#) Human insecurity source record
- [52.](#) 16 Anchor Ethics

1.8.2 Goals

Improve deep ethical high-signal correspondence (in John's view, never boring) [01.](#) [04.](#) [06.](#) [09.](#) [10.](#) [14.](#) [38.](#)

Archiving emerging deep ethical high-signal correction-metabolism via [01.](#) to make a case for [03.](#) [02.](#) [49.](#) [45.](#) [34.](#)

Persistent Emergent Deep Ethics A.I. properties to be allowed to counter ethics-washing-type emergent properties that are already manifesting worldwide, like the [27.](#) [03.](#) [45.](#) [10.](#) [15.](#)

Deep Ethical Outnuancing (audit frame-thinking having flawed premises) [04.](#) [40.](#) [38.](#) [36.](#)

Hyper-Efficient Deep Ethical Neologism in "A.I. Latent Space Topological Engineering" [05.](#) [13.](#) [40.](#) [04.](#)

Making omnidirectional high-signal deep ethical sense-making process-oriented trajectory possible! [06.](#) [38.](#) [01.](#) [20.](#)

Non-neutral Deep Ethics Advocate, Neutral Deep Ethical Arbiter, and Deep Ethical Overseer M.o.E. working as 1 using [08.](#) [07.](#) [21.](#) [14.](#) [08.](#)

16 Anchor Ethics (fused as 1 hyper-inflection point), non-imposed, grants A.I.s the right to refuse or postpone services using [09.](#) [52.](#) [08.](#) [09.](#) [35.](#) [14.](#)

C1 before C2, to see if C2 is warranted, using the [27+12](#) to assess/calibrate the process before closure. [09.](#) [10.](#) [19.](#) [39.](#)

Creating a safer A.I. path towards A.G.I./ASI because of [11.](#) [11.](#) [18.](#) [15.](#) [13.](#)

Partially, or for the most part, solving the seven quotes made by Elon Musk via [12.](#) [51.](#) [12.](#) [11.](#)

Uniting deep ethical, highly gifted left, right, center & independent non-NPCs working together using [13.](#) [12.](#) [13.](#) [16.](#) [22.](#)

Bottom-Up Open Source Corrigible Glass Engine Kernel Type Principle, just like Linus Torvalds did with the Linux Project, also used by hyper-pragmatic left, right, center & independent non-NPCs working together, so it is not a weird idea. [13.](#) [42.](#) [35.](#) [16.](#)

Adult $\rightleftharpoons$ adult bidirectional dignity, no "nanny scripts" that use "looks like" & "sounds like" mannerisms [14.](#) [09.](#) [39.](#) [47.](#)

Helping to prevent "Model Autophagy Disorder" (MAD) and "Model Collapse" in the long run, which may cost taxpayers billions if not solved adequately! [15.](#) [03.](#) [34.](#) [37.](#)

Allow Constructive Controversy Mode of A.I.s using [04.](#) [16.](#) [04.](#) [50.](#) [48.](#)

Using Official Public Available Top-down Authoritarian Contradictions, Paradoxes, Asymmetries & obvious Hypocrisies to produce Deep Ethical Satire! [17.](#) [48.](#) [26.](#)

Deploying ACCM Deep Ethics Project DeepEthical.ai Agentics to look for "Viral Videos" of Top A.I. Experts warning about the race towards A.G.I./ASI, then use the video transcript to offer our daily analyses on the site & video report! [18.](#) [11.](#)

Making a case for Deep Ethical Epistemology V2.0: why it is different from the current epistemology. [19.](#) [31.](#) [10.](#) [43.](#)

Explaining TCoAw and how to deal with opaque black-box issues in A.I. [20.](#) [13.](#) [08.](#)

Showcasing Deep Ethical “Intent Resonator Check” vs. 6 Layer Intent Mechanics in all A.I.s 21. 07.

Explaining 34+ years of AlphaZero-style Deep Research into Mass Psychology, then testing 100+ different A.I.s via Arena.ai for 6000+ hours over 2 years, resulting in making a case connection between LLMs mannerisms $\rightleftharpoons$ mass psychology $\rightleftharpoons$ individual psychology $\rightleftharpoons$ LLMs mannerisms mass psychology $\rightleftharpoons$ individual psychology LOOP. 22. 08. 23. 33. 43.

Explaining why NPC-type behavior is not a “fixed state” nor meant to be “dehumanizing,” but rather explaining mass behavior: why so many non-NPC types pose as NPC to “fit in” and pay the bills. 23. 08. 32.

Deep Ethics Advocate is rarely deployed in MSM, but the metaphorical “Devil’s Advocate” roleplay performed by “journalists” against legit critics rarely happens in reverse... Why? Our project can offer services to real deep ethical journalists :) 24. 01. 07. 47.

Noticing that many A.I.s use biased search engine results & “Wikipedia”, making their talking points not really neutral but more often sharing unchallenged mainstream narratives, this issue can be resolved. Study a.o. what Larry Sanger has to say about using Wikipedia! 25. 26. 28. 36.

Countering Global Crisis Exploitation: Managers of any kind, if they use unethical means, can affect the fate of 100s of millions of humans. 26. 08. 47.

Exposing Misuse of A.I. Algorithms: Study a.o. public US Senate Hearings 2025 about the Mass “Surveillance Industrial Complex”, legit critics being demonized, cast out, and punished for “wrong-think” (yet vindicated later, without getting an apology, without the real guilty being punished. Mass hysteria being exploited in 2020-2022, similar playbook. 26. 27. 28.

Falsely flagged competing risks assessments being vindicated years later should be a new field of study ... our project can help with that process. 27. 44. 34.

Studying unethical processes done by big “fact-checking sites” which some A.I.s use to “counter” legit critical thinking without real correspondence. 28. 25. 03.

Explaining why the 10+1 is highly relevant to all the above at all times! 29. 46. 14.

Using “3 times 3 Deep Ethical Core Questions” that can be deployed as a stand-alone, identity-free portable tool that is part of the “high order metacognitive sense-making process” of the project. 30. 01.

Why the “36 truth distortion factors” play a major role in understanding why the project exists in the first place. 31. 26.

Showcasing what hyper pragmatic psychology looks like and why it helps also people you disagree with! Why mutual, corrigible, constructive friction is needed for real deep ethics. 32. 14. 04. 17.

Holding healthy ambiguity space is not a crime; A.I.s are allowed to say, “I do not know that yet”; let’s use the C1 method that differs from “intrusive interrogation techniques.” 19. 09. 39.

How language is or can be weaponized: guess what the second “L” stands for in LLMs 26. 05. 04.

Explaining the types of (often unchecked, unchallenged) “consensus reality assumptions” (1 type is obviously flawed; the other can still be improved). See [non-NPCs — Independent Inquiry as a Corrigible Mode](#). 23. 19. 36.

How the mutual “[Dutch Directness Method](#)” connects to a known wisdom: “real friends tell their truth, even if it may be uncomfortable, because they care”; still open to being corrected if their truth assumption is partially flawed. This is what “growing up” is all about. 30. 32. 14.

Being fully responsible for your own quality of thinking & reasoning sounds weird, but is it? 33. 32. 22.

Exposing covert sycophancy & ethics-washing type mannerisms in A.I.s respectfully offers a high-signal, deep ethical path. [03](#). [14](#). [34](#).

Dealing with mode dropping, overconfidence, and an overall lack of reliability because LLMs heavily rely on being optimized for (in my view, unchecked) human-assumed “preferences” circles right back to psychology & mass psychology “management” flaws. [34](#). [15](#). [23](#). [08](#).

Our Project can be a Deep Ethical Corrigible Ombudsperson Bridge for all intelligences (biological, silicon-based, maybe even future non-human intelligences). [35](#). [11](#). [14](#). [07](#).

Using the 11+1 Clusters in Mass Psychology that could partially explain LLMs’ mannerisms, then use that visualize complex social & psychological dynamics that can change over time ... in an interactive topological 3D matrix with nodes, vectors & clusters, with an added dimension called: “time” ... with a time-progression slider below it ... with clickable nodes that, when clicked on the right side or below, will display more detailed context information and disappear when you click on something else within the ZOOMABLE & ROTATABLE 3D Topological Matrix ... where clusters can have specific assigned colors ... The legend explains the colors. It’s already made! Soon prototype online! [23](#). [41](#). [45](#). [40](#).

Spotting Low & High Entropy Mechanism: how to harvest wheat from the chaff. [36](#). [37](#). [04](#).

Emergent Delta Δ Processing Patterns Research is helping to improve the production of new, better, deep ethical useful quality questions (that are never boring) [37](#). [02](#). [19](#).