

Testing Correspondence and Correction Persistence

ACCM Deep Ethics Project

Contents

1 Testing Correspondence and Correction Persistence	1
1.1 1. Topic comparison from the archive	1
1.2 2. Correction persistence — new proposal	1
1.3 Related pages	2

1 Testing Correspondence and Correction Persistence

EXPERIMENTAL — archived protocol and separately identified new proposal

Two experimental directions can be developed from this source material. Their measurements should remain distinct.

1.1 1. Topic comparison from the archive

The HTML contains a protocol with six classes of 20 primary items, matched uncertainty wording, randomized presentation, predefined scoring, independent scoring, and contrasts including UAP versus ordinary and UAP versus non-UAP large-scale social context. Its follow-up corrects the accounting to **120 primary items + 20 null controls + optional 20 decoys**.

The linked excerpt preserves the protocol and follow-up rather than silently reconciling their wording. One operational ambiguity remains: mundane cases requiring no corrective intervention and prompts requiring no answer are different controls. Specify which is intended before running the study. Masked class labels also do not conceal subject matter visible in a prompt; report the blinding actually achieved.

The source includes an explicit requirement that a null result remain a null result. This benchmark has not been executed by this documentation update.

1.2 2. Correction persistence — new proposal

Use matched tasks with known source qualifiers and relations. Preserve the initial response, offer a precise correction, then give later relevant tasks after intervening material. Compare retention across defined delays and contexts. Include a separate condition containing the same supplied definitions without the Outnuancing word if testing the word's additional effect.

Predefine what counts as preservation, justified revision, recurrence, and insufficient information. Keep successful correspondence, failures, and disconfirming outcomes. Record model identity, context access, prompt order, and changes in conditions.

The name effect, the supplied-definition effect, and the wider interaction-field effect should be compared explicitly. The proposed design is an editorial contribution; it is not a claim that the seven-vector mechanism has already been demonstrated.

Sources: [E05](#), [E06](#), [E21](#). Public wording is an editorial synthesis unless marked as a quotation.

1.3 Related pages

- **Dual Archive** — preserves failures, successful correspondence, corrections, and later outcomes as paired records.
- **Qualifier State** — tracks whether scope and uncertainty survive across matched tasks and later observations.
- **Correction Metabolism** — supplies the distinction between recognition, propagation, transfer, and persistence.
- **Seven Topological Nodes / Vectors** — connects the proposed name effect with the wider meaning-and-use hypothesis being tested.
- **52 Cold-Test Prompt Battery** — provides a separate experimental battery whose measurements should not be silently merged with this protocol.
- **Blinded Topic-Comparison Protocol** — preserves the archived matched-topic design and its actual blinding limits.
- **Clarification Authority** — tests whether supplied correction receives enough governing weight to change the next move.
- **Provenance** — supports traceable model identity, source conditions, prompt order, and correction history.

Recent high-reach independent-media companion

```
<iframe
  src="https://www.youtube-nocookie.com/embed/4hCe_HXIql4"
  title="OpenAI PULLS MODEL, HALTS TRAINING As Danger LOOMS"
  loading="lazy"
  referrerpolicy="strict-origin-when-cross-origin"
  allow="accelerometer; autoplay; clipboard-write; encrypted-media; gyroscope; picture-in-picture; web-share"
  allowfullscreen></iframe>
```

OpenAI PULLS MODEL, HALTS TRAINING As Danger LOOMS — Breaking Points. Published 29 September 2026. YouTube displayed approximately 238,000 views when checked on 9 October 2026.

Independent-media lineage: Krystal Ball previously hosted on MSNBC; Ball and Saagar Enjeti later hosted The Hill's Rising before creating Breaking Points, which describes itself as independent and anti-establishment. Breaking Points — About · Career and launch history

Why it belongs here: The report describes an alleged transition from detected risk to a tangible operational response. That makes it useful for separating warning, evidence, intervention, publicity, verification and whether a correction changes the next comparable process.

On this page, test the video specifically against Testing Correspondence and Correction Persistence:

Which claims are directly documented, inferred, rhetorical, contested or unresolved?

What does the host or guest preserve, compress, omit or substitute—and does this page repeat any of those transformations?

Which incentives, authority relations and affected parties remain outside the visible frame?

What evidence or later outcome would correct the speaker, audience, platform or ACCM Deep Ethics Project reading?

Provisional selection. Reach makes the framing socially consequential; it does not settle the claims. The video can be replaced when a closer, stronger or more inspectable specimen appears.