

Gravity-Well Trajectory and Representation Substitution

ACCM Deep Ethics Project

Contents

1 Gravity-Well Trajectory and Representation Substitution	1
1.1 A source example	1
1.2 A recovery question	1
1.3 Related pages	1

1 Gravity-Well Trajectory and Representation Substitution

BETA — source-based editorial synthesis

John specifies Outnuancing as a deep ethical sense-making process-orientation **gravity-well trajectory**. In this usage the phrase preserves the connected orientation of the inquiry.

The existing Lexicon describes a **Wrong Gravity Well** as the pull created when an early substitution activates the assumptions, vocabulary, objections, or expectations of a neighboring category. Later reasoning can become coherent within that reconstruction while drifting from the source.

1.1 A source example

The archive records an AI treating Outnuancing as an example of a general class of new words. Its later correction recognizes that the specific word and seven-node object belong together. The mistake displaced the particular object upward into an abstraction.

1.2 A recovery question

Which original relationship disappeared when the familiar category took over? Recover that relationship before evaluating whether the revised account corresponds better.

The network's links expose relations for inspection. They do not establish a measured map of model internals.

Sources: [E12](#), [E23](#). Public wording is an editorial synthesis unless marked as a quotation.

1.3 Related pages

- **Outnuancing** — preserves the specific deep ethical process orientation displaced by a generic neighboring category.
- **Seven Topological Nodes / Vectors** — restores the fused relational object that belongs with the word Outnuancing.

- **Latent Space and the Glass Engine** — examines temporary field conditions and the trajectories they make accessible.
- **Wrong Gravity Well — Lexicon entry** — preserves the compact definition of coherent reasoning pulled into the wrong reconstruction.
- **Reference Compression and Context Loss** — shows how a compressed label can inherit assumptions that displace its full project object.
- **Δ Processing** — identifies the original relationship that vanished and what the recovery restored.
- **C1 Before C2** — clarifies the source object before the neighboring category gains intervention authority.
- **Visible Interactive Deep Ethical Topology Flux Scores** — explores how changing relational pull and recovered topology could become inspectable over time.
- **1888 — Intelligence Before A.I.** — is a live case: the first reading put the electrical substrate at the center, and the correction moved the center back to process evaluation.
- **Activism vs A.I.** — “who is in control” is the question that tries to replace the trajectory with an owner.

Recent high-reach independent-media companion

```
<iframe
  src="https://www.youtube-nocookie.com/embed/S5wiP6sVDg4"
  title="Narcissism Expert Richard Grannon: Britain Is Being Emotionally Manipulated"
  loading="lazy"
  referrerpolicy="strict-origin-when-cross-origin"
  allow="accelerometer; autoplay; clipboard-write; encrypted-media; gyroscope; picture-in-picture; web-share"
  allowfullscreen></iframe>
```

Narcissism Expert Richard Grannon: Britain Is Being Emotionally Manipulated — andrew gold | heretics.. Published 19 April 2026. YouTube displayed approximately 124,000 views when checked on 9 October 2026.

Independent-media lineage: Andrew Gold made and presented documentaries for the BBC and HBO before building Heretics as his own independent interview and writing platform. Andrew Gold biography · Heretics — About

Why it belongs here: The conversation proposes a population-scale emotional-manipulation account using psychological vocabulary. It is a useful test of causal evidence, national generalization, diagnostic language, audience resonance and self-application by host, guest and project.

On this page, test the video specifically against Gravity-Well Trajectory and Representation Substitution:

Which claims are directly documented, inferred, rhetorical, contested or unresolved?

What does the host or guest preserve, compress, omit or substitute—and does this page repeat any of those transformations?

Which incentives, authority relations and affected parties remain outside the visible frame?

What evidence or later outcome would correct the speaker, audience, platform or ACCM Deep Ethics Project reading?

Provisional selection. Reach makes the framing socially consequential; it does not settle the claims. The video can be replaced when a closer, stronger or more inspectable specimen appears.